

No.	QUESTION/COMMENT:	DATE SUB'D	ACTION BY	Action	Steering Group Comments / Response
BAF1	Brampton Cottage, extend settlement boundary to include garden.	15/04/18	NDP group		Discussed & determined no change
BAF1	Brampton Cottage, right of way.	15/04/18		Check that the OS map is latest version	Use a larger scale map as well as latest available version which will show the right of way.
NDP	Settlement boundaries endorsed	14/01/18			Supporting comment - no change
NDP	Settlement boundaries endorsed	14/04/18			Supporting comment - no change
BAF1	Argue the case that 14% growth should be a maximum	14/04/18	NDP group		National Policy does not allow "maximums" - no change
BAF1	Argue the case that 14% growth should be a maximum	14/04/18	NDP group		National Policy does not allow "maximums" - no change
BAF1	Single settlement boundary for whole village	14/04/18	NDP group		Discussed & determined no change
NDP	NDP endorsed	14/04/18			Supporting comment - no change
BAF3	Represent Old Rectory as Grade2 listed site	14/04/18	NDP group		BAF3 covers non-designated heritage sites not designated (e.g listed assets) no change.
BAF4	Highlight lack of facilities to support developments.	14/04/18	NDP group		Comment noted - no change - picked up elsewhere in NDP.
BAF6	Is potential for development open ended / unlimited	14/04/18	NDP group		Planning applications can be made at any time - the NDP will help control and manage development - no change.
NDP	NDP endorsed. Drainage issues to be highlighted	14/04/18			Agreed - add to 6.26 incl surface water drainage
NDP	NDP endorsed.	14/04/18			Supporting comment - noted.
BAF3,4	Improve safety elements re. highways, traffic volumes	14/04/18	NDP group	Revisit this section	There is a Parish Council working group looking into this - include their findings
BAF3,4	Improve safety elements re. highways, traffic volumes	14/04/18	NDP group	Revisit this section	see above
NDP	NDP endorsed	14/04/18			Supporting comment - noted.
NDP	NDP endorsed	14/04/18			Supporting comment - noted.

No.	QUESTION/COMMENT:	DATE SUB'D	ACTION BY	Action	Steering Group Comments / Response
BAF4	reword C to read conservation not management	14/04/18	NDP group	Review	Agreed - BAF 4 c v "conservation and management.."
BAF!	Identify affordable units in Policy	14/04/18	NDP group	Review	No change - covered by Core Strategy policy.
BAF3	Identify Orchard as community facility.	14/04/18	NDP group		No change - not a community facility.
BAF7	Need to conserve and maintain Foy bridge	14/04/18	NDP group	Review wording	Agreed - add to BAF 7 - as a facility
BAF8.9.10	Include village name and speed awareness signage	14/04/18	NDP group	Review	See highway safety above
BAF5,6	Reinforce need to maintain AONB	14/04/18	NDP group	Review	Agreed - would help strengthen protection.
BAF1	Need to include Netherton as a settlement	26/03/18	NDP group	Review	No change - Netherton is not identified in the Core Strategy.
BAF1 d,f	"linear road-side settlement pattern"	30/03/18	NDP group	Review wording	Considered and agreed no change
BAF1	Reconsider omission of Tonwsend farm	30/03/18	NDP group	Review	Discussed & noted Hereford Council comments, and concerns of increased traffic around working farm, narrow lane etc. No change
BAF4c	reword C to read conservation	30/03/18	NDP group	Review	Agreed - see above
BAF1	review settlement boundaries re. infill sites	30/03/18	NDP group	Review	Considered and agreed no change
BAF1	Specific locations for new units should be clarified	17/04/18	NDP group	Review	No change - settlement boundary approach well established across County and accepted as a means of demonstraing delivery.
BAF1	Identify sustainable and available land.	17/04/18	NDP group	Review	No change - settlement boundary approach well established across County and accepted as a means of demonstraing delivery.
BAF1	Clarify "linear road side" and "ribbon development"	17/04/18	NDP group	Review	Considered and agreed no change
NDP	Review "mark up" submitted	17/04/18	NDP group	Review mark up	See Below

No.	QUESTION/COMMENT:	DATE SUB'D	ACTION BY	Action	Steering Group Comments / Response
NDP4.13	Strategic Assessment Screening	14/04/18	NDP group	where is accompanying document	include website URL
BAF6	Why is the triangle at Village Hall excluded	14/04/18	NDP group	Review	Agreed - add to BAF 7 - as a facility
Appd 1	What is the significance of * at particular entries	14/04/18	NDP group		It denotes Grade ii star listing.
BAF1	Has a Village topography assessment been undertaken	16/04/18	NDP group		Discussed - and agreed not necessary
BAF1	Has a landscape impact assessment been undertaken.	16/04/18	NDP group		Discussed - and agreed not necessary for a settlement boundary
BAF1	Are sites with planning applications in settlement boundries	16/04/18	NDP group		At the time of writng where they adjoin settlement boundaries - yes they have.
NDP	The NDP suggests that target has been reached	21/03/18	NDP group		On latest figures exceeded. Agree figures for next version of NDP with HC. But note no maximum permitted (above)
NDP	Focus on improving quality of life for residents	21/03/18	NDP group	Review	The plan already seeks to do this.
NDP	Developments should have lower level of adverse impact	21/03/18	NDP group		The plan already seeks to do this.
NDP	Promote "Family friendly facilities" in the village	21/02/18	NDP group	Review	Discussed and agreed this is not a viable objective
NDP	Proposals and key objectives are balanced	21/03/18			Supporting comment - noted.
NDP6	Foy bridge to be considered as key infrastructure	21/03/18	NDP group		Agreed - see above
NDP	Road safety issues are significant	21/03/18	NDP group		Agreed - see above
NDP	Affordable housing to be restated as siognificant issue.	26/03/18	NDP group	Review	Discussed and considered that emphasis on mixed housing had been included.
BAF8	Agree with broad sentiments, however traffic is a major issue	17/04/18			Agreed see above
NDP	Intro and 6.25 wording to be reconsidered	17/04/18	NDP group	review	Discussed and agreed no change.
NDP	Settlment boundary does not accuratgely include approved application for land adjacent to Larksmead	verbal comment	NDP group		It should do. Double check against planning and settlement boundary maps

No.	QUESTION/COMMENT:	DATE SUB'D	ACTION BY	Action	Steering Group Comments / Response
NDP	Light Pollution	verbal comment	NDP group		Include requirement to apply appropriate limitation on lighting to prevent light pollution.
J Lutkin marked up copy - comments					
Para 1.4	define shortened 'NDP'		NDP group		at 1.4 after "...Neighbourhood Development plan ('NDP')..."
Para 4.1	add Hill of Eaton		NDP group		Add Hill of Eaton at 4.1 & where settlements are named
Para 4.8	Netherton		NDP group		Add Netherton at 4.8 & where settlements are named
BAF1 e & f	AONB not precise enough		NDP group		Ask MW to review wording.
Para 6.1	Second bullet item now incorrerct - not lapsed		NDP group		To be updated to the current position
para 6.3	"target" of 19 new homes ?		NDP group		should read 'minimum' of ...
para 6.11	should this be in infrastructure section?		NDP group		Ask MW to consider
para 6.14	confusion over 'designated' and 'non-designated' heritage assets		NDP group		The list at back is just Heritage Assets so not clear where non-designated come from. MW to consider
para 6.14	Tree Preservation Orders - are there any in BA? Do we need to seek some? Q		NDP group		MW (or Clerk) to investigate
BAF4 c	add conservation		NDP group		to read: "the retention and <i>conservation</i> and management....."